# Particle and Radiation Detectors

- Design of Particle-Physics Detectors -

PART-II

2022-July-19 Vietnam School on Neutrino 2022

Yoshiaki Fujii High-energy accelerator research organization J-PARC Neutrino Experimental Facility

# For the Higgs discovery

We need

- Excellent  $\gamma$ -- $\gamma$  mass reconstruction. For this,

excellent EM calorimeters for excellent energy/direction measurement of  $\gamma$ , and good hadron calorimeters and trackers for non- $\gamma$  rejection.

- Excellent muon measurement.

For this,

excellent trackers, excellent calorimeters, good muon detector, thick material as muon filter, and precise magnetic field mapping

# For the precision Higgs study

We need

- Excellent jet reconstruction capability
  - trackers with heigh momentum resolution and multi-track reconstruction capability for collimated jet tracks,
  - calorimeters with good energy resolution and excellent granularity
- Excellent flavor tagging
  - vertex detector of excellent position resolution and multi-track capability for collimated jet
  - Dedicated particle-ID detectors

# <u>4. Interaction of particle with matter</u> and <u>5. Operation principle of detectors</u>

At the reaction of interest, we need to know what kind of particles are emitted to which direction with what energy .

There are many types of detectors to achieve the purpose above.

Need to decide which to use, taking into account ;

- **Performances** ; energy, position, timing, efficiency, contamination,,,
- Mechanical feature ; Size, strength, material thickness, stability,,,
- Cost
- Elaborating-ness
- Matured technology or needs more R&D
- $\rightarrow$  Need to know operation principle of each detector
- $\rightarrow$  Need to know interaction of particle with matter

# 4. Interaction of particles with matter

- charged particle
- Photon
- Hadron

# Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- Excitation
- Ionization
- Cherenkov Radiation
- Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- Nuclear reaction
- Electromagnetic/Hadronic Shower

#### Interaction of **neutral particle** with matter/atom

Photon

- Photo-electric effect
- Compton scattering
- Pair creation
- Electromagnetic Shower

Neutral Hadron

- $\cdot$  Nuclear reaction
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Hadronic shower

#### 4. Interaction of particle with matter

There are many types of detectors.

 $\rightarrow$  Need to know interaction of particle with matter

#### Interaction of **<u>charged particle</u>**

- $\cdot$  Ionization
- Electromagnetic Shower
- Hadronic Shower

# Interaction of **neutral particle**

Photon

- Electromagnetic Shower
   Neutral Hadron
  - Hadronic shower

- Muons Muon Detector Hadron Calorimeter **EM** Calorimeter **Charged Hadrons** Tracker Neutral Hadrons Vertex Interaction > point Electrons Particle ID detectors sometimes  $\rightarrow$ **Photons** Possible places for a solenoid
- Different interaction mechanisms are used in combination for Particle ID.
  - Ionization
  - Cherenkov Radiation
  - Transition Radiation

Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- · Excitation  $\rightarrow$  fluorescence
- Ionization

Incoming charged particle

Excited atom emits photon (fluorescence) when it returns to the ground state.

→ This photon is used for detection of particle passage.

Also contribute to the energy loss of incoming particle.





**Emitted electron** Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom Excitation Incoming charged particle Ionization All of thee objects are useful for particle detection; - ionized atom - emitted electron - energy loss of the incoming particle ; dE/dx $cm^2$ ) H<sub>2</sub> liquid Energy loss of incoming charged particle, dE/dx, caused by successive ionization with matter atoms  $l_{10}$  4 (-dE/dx) (MeV He gas is very important mechanism. 3 0.1 1.0 10 100 1000 10000 Energy loss in variety of matter.  $\beta \gamma = p/Mc$ Taken from PDG. 100 0.11.0 10 1000 Muon momentum (GeV/c) 76

Let's Design Detectors

Interaction of charged particle with matter ; dE/dx



Interaction of charged particle with matter ; dE/dx



By measuring dE/dx and momentum, one can distinguish particle species at certain momentum region.

#### Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

# Cherenkov Radiation

- Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- Nuclear reaction



Fig. from Grupen.

Mechanism of Cherenkov radiation generation. Polarization of material atom lines up for v>c/n, and dipole radiation becomes coherent. When a charged particle travels in material with speed exceeding that of light in the material, v > c/nCherenkov radiation is emitted.



Fig. from W.R.Leo.

Cherenkov generation condition is  $n\beta > 1$ , and radiation angle  $\theta$  is  $\cos \theta = 1/n\beta$ .

#### **Cherenkov Radiation**

Cherenkov generation condition ;  $\beta > 1/n$ Radiation angle  $\theta$  ; cos  $\theta = 1/n\beta$ .  $\rightarrow$  have sensitivity to  $\beta$  $\rightarrow$  Useful for particle species identification

Number of generated photons are:

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d\lambda dx} = \frac{2\pi\alpha z^2}{\lambda^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2(\lambda)}\right) = \frac{2\pi\alpha z^2}{\lambda^2} \sin^2\theta_C$$





Cherenkov light at a reactor. from "Cherenkov Radiation" by K.Muller

material	n	$\beta$ threshold	Nphoton
Не	1.000 0349	0.99997	0.03/cm
N2	1.000 298	0.9997	0.3/cm
Pentane	1.0017	0.9983	7/cm
Aerogel	1.007-1.13	0.993-0.884	tens/cm
Water	1.33	0.75	210/cm
Polystyrene	1.60	0.63	

from "Cherenkov Radiation" by K.Muller

#### Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- Cherenkov Radiation
- Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- Nuclear reaction

When a charged particle travels crossing boundary of different material, Transition Radiation is emitted.



boundary

#### **Transition Radiation**

Emitted energy S  $S = \frac{1}{3}\alpha z^{2}\hbar\omega_{\rm p}\gamma \ , \ \hbar\omega_{\rm p} = \sqrt{4\pi N_{e}r_{e}^{3}}m_{e}c^{2}/\alpha$ 

Characteristics ;

- $\cdot$  Emitted energy S  $\sim \gamma$
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Emitted  $N_{photon} \sim \alpha z^2 \sim \boldsymbol{0.01}$  for electron
  - Photon emission probability is very low.
  - N has almost no  $\gamma$  dependence for hard photon.
- Photon energy hv increases as  $\gamma$  increases.
- $\cdot$  Emission angle  $\theta$  ~ 1/ $\!\gamma$ 
  - Coherency of incoming particle field and emitted radiation field requires that emission be in forward cone of  $1/\gamma$ .

Total emitted energy at single boundary is proportional to  $\gamma$ , thus very useful for particle identification

(mostly to identify electrons)



Fig. from Grupen.

#### Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- Cherenkov Radiation
- Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- When an electron travels close to an atom, it is de-accelerated by the Coulomb field and emits photon.

Nuclear reaction



## Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- Cherenkov Radiation
- Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- Nuclear reaction

At the linear collider, colliding beams feels strong field of incoming beam, and generate bremsstrahlung.

This reduces  $\sqrt{S}$  of the event,

and affects recoil mass reconstruction or momentum-balance analysis.



Sometimes called Beamstrahlung

## 4. Interaction of particle with matter ; photon

Interaction of photon with matter/atom

- Photo-electric effect
- Compton scattering
- Pair creation
- Rayleigh scattering





#### Photo-electric effect ; Einstein's Nobel prize in 1921.

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm p.e.} &= E_{\gamma} - I_{\rm b} \\ I_{\rm b} &= \text{Nuclear binding energy} \\ \text{Has strong Z dependence.} \end{split}$$
  $\sigma_{\rm photo}^{\rm K} &= \left(\frac{32}{\varepsilon^7}\right)^{1/2} \alpha^4 \cdot Z^5 \cdot \sigma_{\rm Th}^e \end{split}$ 

$$\sigma_{\rm photo}^{\rm K} = 4\pi r_e^2 Z^5 \alpha^4 \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon = E_{\gamma}/m_e c^2 \\ \sigma_{\rm Th}^e = \frac{8}{3}\pi r_e^2 \end{array}$$

Cross section of photoelectric effect for low-energy photon (upper) and for high-energy photon ( $\epsilon$ >>1, lower).  $\sigma^{e}_{Th}$  is Thomson-scattering cross section.

Equations from Grupen.

Important process in photo-sensors

- Photo-multipliers

Incoming photon

- Image intensifiers

Molecule analysis etc.

photoelectron

#### 4. Interaction of particle with matter ; photon

#### <u>Compton scattering</u>;

- Photon scattering by quasi-free atomic electrons
- Binding energy of electrons << Photon energy

Exact probability by Klein-Nishina;

$$\phi_{\rm c}(E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma}') \,\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}' = \pi r_e^2 \frac{N_{\rm A}Z}{A} \frac{m_e c^2}{E_{\gamma}} \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}'}{E_{\gamma}'} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}'}{E_{\gamma}}\right)^2 - \frac{E_{\gamma}'}{E_{\gamma}} \sin^2 \theta_{\gamma} \right]$$

And some useful kinematic values;

$$\frac{E'_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon(1 - \cos\theta_{\gamma})} \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{m_e}c^2$$
$$E_{\text{kin}} = E_{\gamma} - E'_{\gamma} \qquad \text{Formula from Grupen.}$$

Inverse Compton scattering is widely used to generate high-energy  $\gamma$ 's by colliding Laser and high-energy electrons.





Energy spectra of recoil electrons. Fig. from W.R.Leo.

# Pair creation

- High-energy  $\gamma$  creates electron-positron pair under strong coulomb field of nucleus.  $E\gamma > 2m_e$  + nucleus recoil energy

Production cross sections are ;

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\text{pair}} &= 4\alpha r_e^2 Z^2 \left(\frac{7}{9}\ln 2\varepsilon - \frac{109}{54}\right) \quad \text{at low energy} \\ \sigma_{\text{pair}} &= 4\alpha r_e^2 Z^2 \left(\frac{7}{9}\ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{54}\right) \quad \text{at high energy} \end{split}$$

At very high energy, it asymptotically approaches to

$$\sigma_{\text{pair}} \approx \frac{7}{9} \cdot \frac{A}{N_{\text{A}}} \cdot \frac{1}{X_0} \qquad X_0 = \frac{A}{4\alpha N_{\text{A}} Z^2 r_e^2 \ln(183 \ Z^{-1/3})}$$

Formula from Grupen.

Dominant process for  $E_{\gamma} > 10 \sim 20$  MeV, and causes 'electromagnetic shower', important for energy measurement by calorimeters.



## 4. Interaction of particle with matter

#### **Electromagnetic Shower**

- High-energy electron emits γ, emitted γ creates electron-positron pair, pair-created electron/positron again emits γ, , , ,
- $\rightarrow$  electromagnetic cascade = shower

As shower growth, number of particles increases, and energy of each particle decreases. Eventually their energy become too low to generate particles any more, and cascade ceases.

Shower is used to measure energy of electron and  $\gamma.$  Electron momentum is also measured by trackers. These are complementary.



Figure from K.Lang.



GEANT simulation EM shower. Quite dense and crowded. from Erika.

#### Let's Design Detectors

Shower cascades

## 4. Interaction of particle with matter ; Hadron

#### Interaction of Hadron with matter/atom/nucleus

- Nuclear reaction
- Hadronic shower

High-energy hadrons do hadronic interaction with nucleus, and generates variety of secondary particles ;  $\pi$ , K,  $\eta$ ,  $\rho$ , p, n,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\gamma$ , e,  $\mu$ , , ,





Some fundamental formula:

 $\sigma$ tot =  $\sigma$ el +  $\sigma$ inel

Since strong interaction is short-range, roughly,

 $\sigma_{tot(pA)} = \sigma_{tot(pp)} \cdot A^{2/3}$ 

Hadronic interaction length  $\lambda$  can be expressed  $\lambda = 1/n \cdot \sigma tot(pA) = A/(\sigma tot(pp) \cdot A^{2/3} \cdot NA \cdot \rho)$  $\sim A^{1/3}$ 

And flux attenuation after x-passage becomes

$$N(x) = N_0 \cdot \exp(-x/\lambda)$$

#### 4. Interaction of particle with matter ; Hadron

#### Hadronic shower

High-energy hadrons do hadronic interaction with nucleus, and generates variety of secondary particles.
In matter, the secondaries interact with nucleus and generates tertiaries ···
→ hadron shower cascade

As shower growth, number of particles increases, and energy of each particle decreases. Eventually their energy become too low to generate particles any more, and cascade ceases.

This process is used to measure energy of neutral hadrons. (Charged hadron energy is better measured by measuring momentum by trackers.)



### Interaction of charged particle with matter/atom

- Excitation
- $\cdot$  Ionization
- Cherenkov Radiation
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  Transition Radiation
- Bremsstrahlung
- Nuclear reaction
- Electromagnetic/Hadronic Shower
- Interaction of **Photon** with matter/atom
  - Photo-electric effect
  - Compton scattering
  - Pair creation

and Electromagnetic Shower

Interaction of **Neutral Hadron** with matter/atom

Nuclear reaction

and Hadronic shower

# **5.** Operation of detectors

- Trackers
- Calorimeters
- Photo-sensors

- $\rightarrow$  to which direction
- $\rightarrow$  with what energy (important device)
- Particle Identification  $\rightarrow$  what kind of particle

#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers

#### Trackers measure particle direction and momentum.



- Measure space points of charged particle passages.
- Connect space points, do fitting, reconstruct the track, and obtain radius of the track.
- With magnetic field B and track radius, momentum can be calculated.
- Various Trackers for different cases (multiplicity, jet collimation, , , ) Multiwire drift chambers → Belle-II, BaBar,,, Jet Chambers → OPAL, H1, ZEUS,,, Time Projection Chambers → ILD, ALICE, ALEPH, DELPHI,,, Silicon Tracker → ATLAS, CMS, SiD,,,

Let's Design Detectors

#### Trackers measure particle direction and momentum.



Approximately *P* [GeV]=0.3*B* $\rho$  [T · m]

Resolution, in general

 $\sigma_{P_T}/P_T = a \cdot P_T \oplus b$  $a \propto \sigma / (BL^2 \sqrt{N})$ 

Large radius, strong B, good position resolution, many measurement points.

ATLAS (achieved)  $\sigma P_T/P_T = 0.05\% \cdot P_T \oplus 1\%$ ILC (criteria)

 $\sigma P_T/P_T = 0.01\% \cdot P_T \oplus 0.2\%$ 

- Charged particle momentum be measured by trackers, while neutral particle energy be measured by calorimeters.
- Energy loss measurement by trackers valuable for particle-ID.
- Low mass to avoid scattering inside the tracker and to avoid disturbing ECAL measurement In case of jets:
- Many tracks close to each other. Need excellent two-track separation, fine pitch to relax occupancy.
- Need to avoid double counting of track and cluster  $\rightarrow$  precise track-cluster correspondence needed
  - $\rightarrow$  P&E resolution, precise track extrapolation, two-track separation, fine granularity,

# How trackers measure space points ?

Interaction with matter : ionization

- $\cdot$  Gas trackers
  - Principle of gas chambers
  - wire chambers
  - drift chamber
  - jet chambers
  - TPC
  - Various chambers
- Silicon trackers
  - Principle of silicon detector
  - Strip
  - Pixel
  - VTX detectors

#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

A charged particle passes through material  $\rightarrow$  Ionization (This is the starting point of various detectors.)



#### **Operation principle of gas chambers**

- wire chamber ; drift of emitted electrons and avalanche multiplication



#### **Operation principle of gas chambers**

- wire chamber ; drift of emitted electrons and avalanche multiplication



## **Operation principle of gas chambers**

- wire chamber ; drift of emitted electrons and **avalanche multiplication** 





wires

anode

the

near

field

electric

TUU

#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

#### Avalanche multiplication of electrons



#### Signal generation at the wire

- Electrons move to the wire and induce charge. They are very quickly absorbed by the wire
- Ions move away and induce charges on the wire. Their movement is rather slow.
- Wire picks up induced charges by the movements.
- Calculate induced voltage ;  $V_{\rm ion} >> V_{\rm electron}$
- Ion is slow, thus signal continues long.
   → Readout circuit clips it.



For electrons  $V^{-} = -\frac{q}{lCV_{0}} \int_{a+r'}^{a} \frac{d\phi(r)}{dr} dr = -\frac{q}{2\pi\epsilon_{0}l} \ln\left(\frac{a+r'}{a}\right)$ 

For ions

$$V^{+} = \frac{q}{lCV_0} \int_{a+r'}^{b} \frac{d\phi(r)}{dr} dr = -\frac{q}{2\pi\epsilon_0 l} \ln\left(\frac{b}{a+r'}\right)$$

$$V^{-}/V^{+} = rac{\ln(a+r'/a)}{\ln(b/a+r')} \;$$
 ~ 1/100 typically.

Equations from Erika

#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

Expected performance depends on various chamber configurations;

- Multi-wire proportional chambers or Drift chambers or Jet chambers or Time projection chambers, , , ,
- wire readout or pad readout or micro-pattern gas detectors, , , ,
- on/off or pulse-height or timing (single-hit or multi-hit or FADC or , , ,)

Related Performances are;

- timing resolution
- position resolution
- energy-deposit measurement
- occupancy
- two-track (hit point) separation
- material thickness
- available size
- cost

and so on...
### **Multi-wire Proportional chamber**

Array of many wires and measure the position



#### Expected performance

- position resolution =  $d/\sqrt{12} \sim 0.6$ mm ; usually on/off readout
- timing measurement ~10ns
- large size possible (but not extremely large ; wires become unstable)
- multi-hit measurement capability ; none. usually 1hit for 1wire.
  - Not suitable for jet measurement. For low-multiplicity event measurement.
- cost [/m<sup>2</sup>] ; inexpensive

# **Multi-wire Proportional chamber**

Pad/Strip Analog Read-out



Pad/strip analog read-out ; Measure induced charge over the pads/strips. Center of the charge distribution gives better resolution (ex. 0.1mm) than on/off discrete anode wire readout (ex. 0.6mm).

# Multi-wire Proportional chamber : Two-dimensional Read-out



# **Drift chamber**

- Uniform drift electric field is made by field shaping wires with appropriate voltage gradient.
- Ionized electrons (and ions )drift along the electric field toward the sense wire, and measure the timing of signal w.r.t. particle passage (=external trigger)
- $\rightarrow$  position information (=time x velocity)

1011

10

Figure from Erika



# 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

# Drift chamber

Many factors affect the position resolution;

- diffusion of drifting electrons
- non-uniform electric field
- track incident angle to the field line
- Lorentz angle of drift line due to magnetic field Left-Right ambiguity needs to be solved
- $\rightarrow$  multi-layer configuration





#### Expected performance

- good position resolution 50~100 $\mu$ m (depends on drift length, track angle, **B** etc.)
- no timing measurement (external timing needed)
- large size possible (occupancy matters)
- multi-hit measurement capability with multi-hit TDC  $\rightarrow$  jet chamber
- less cost ; less wires, less readout channels

#### **Tracking by chamber planes**

Stack many layers of chamber planes

 $\rightarrow$  many position measurements  $\rightarrow$  Track reconstruction



cylindrically multi-layered drift chamber.

#### Jet chamber

- Drift chamber with many wires in a "cell" and measure "Track Segment"



# 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

# Jet chamber

- Jet cell just measures track projection to the  $r-\phi$  plane.
- $\rightarrow$  To reconstruct track in 3-dimensional space, z-measurement is needed.
- $\rightarrow$  Stereo wires (tilted wires) or charge division



Superlayer Number

Stereo cells give  $r - \varphi$  track segmen

depending position along wire (z) Calculate correct z which gives smo

> ZEUS jet chamber axial/stereo configuration. From NIM A283.

#### Expected performance

- good  $r-\varphi$  position resolution ~100 $\mu$ , good z-resolution ~1.2mm
- multi-track measurement with multi-hit TDC. 2-track separation ~ 2mm
- dE/dx measurement ~4%
- sensitive to B-field (<2Tesla)
- large size possible

### **Time Projection Chamber**

Essentially three-dimensional track measurement



# **Time Projection Chamber**

Characteristic features;

- Essentially three-dimensional track measurement
- Can measure extremely high multiplicity event.
  - $\rightarrow$  results in incredible data flow of 3.5TB/s from TPC (ALICE)

RHIC STAR Au+Au reconstructed tracks.



LHC ALICE Pb+Pb reconstructed tracks.



# **Time Projection Chamber**

Characteristic features;

- Essentially three-dimensional track measurement
- Excellent two-track separation and high-multiplicity capability
  - $\rightarrow$  suitable for jet measurement
- dE/dx measurement with many sampling points and pressurized gas
- No wires in tracking volume gives homogeneous tracking volume (no kink)
- Very long drift distance of a few m
  - Needs very high voltage to drift electrons along long path
  - Gas diffusion is significant even with containment by axial magnetic field
- Highly uniform magnetic field needed.

Expected performance

- $r-\phi$  position resolution 200~300 $\mu$ , z-resolution ~1mm
- 2-track separation ~ 10mm
- dE/dx measurement  $\sim$ 5%
- large size possible

# 5. Operation of detectors ; Trackers ; Gas Chambers

# Varieties of gas chamber

- RPC (resistive plate chamber)
  - Pad readout of streamer discharge between two parallel plates
  - Excellent time resolution (30-50ps), inexpensive,
  - Long recovery time ~ sec.
- Thin-gap chamber
  - Pad readout of MWC in avalanche mode
  - High-rate capability
- MPGD (micro-pattern gas detector)
  - MicroMegas

Apply HV to a fine mesh and realize avalanche.

- GEM

Apply HV between upper and lower sides of copper-layered insulator sheet with many small holes. Avalanche occurs inside of the small holes.



Let's Design Detectors

### **Silicon Trackers for Vertexing**

- Primary vertexing : resolve multiple-crossing overlap
- Secondary vertexing : short-lived (b, c, t etc.) tagging
- Tracking of collimated jets



Characteristics;

- Excellent position resolution and 2-track separation
- Low ionization energy
- Low occupancy even at high multiplicity
- huge number of read-out channels
- timing resolution ~ns
- thicker material
- radiation tolerance required





### **Silicon Trackers for Vertexing**

- Primary vertexing : resolve multiple-crossing overlap
- Secondary vertexing : short-lived (b, c, t etc.) tagging
- Tracking of collimated jets
- Inner layer ; pixel
- Outer layer ; micro-strip





ALICE



CMS



# Silicon Trackers ; Signal generation



A charged particle passes through the fully-depleted region of silicon

- Apply bias voltage to the silicon sensor.
- Electrons swept out and depleted region is generated.
- Electron-hole pairs are generated
- Electrons and holes are collected to the electrodes and signal picked up.



Schematic structure of silicon-strip detector. *r*- $\phi$  position can be read-out. Natural layout of read-out electronics at the end of the strips.

figure from Grupen

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

Silicon strip sensor layout. Hit points give  $r-\phi$  position of the track.

Double-sided sensors give z-position along the beam direction also.

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

Schematic structure of double-sided silicon-strip detector. 3d-position,  $r-\phi$  and z-position, can be read-out. Ghost-hits appear if occupancy is high.

# Silicon Trackers for Vertexing

- Strip Detector
- Pixel Detector

True 3-d position measurement free from ghost. Read-out electronics layout is complicated.

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Belle-II		ATLAS		CMS	
	Strip	Pixel	Strip	Pixel	Strip	Pixel
size [µm]	50-75	50x55	80	40x400	80-120	100x150
resolution [ $\mu$ m] r $\phi$ /z		15	16/580	10/115	15/50	~20
number of readout channel	0.2M	7.7M		80M		66M
closest R [mm]	38	14	300	50	255	44
Impact Parameter [µm]			~20µm @20GeV		~20µm @20GeV	

# **Neutral particle detection**

- Calorimeters measure total energy of all particles except muons and neutrino.
  Best to measure neutral particle energy,
  while trackers measure charged particle momentum.
- Very high energy electron energy can be better measured by calorimeters due to better-E/worse-P measurement and photon radiation
  - calorimeter energy resolution ;  $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E}$   $\sim$  10%//E  $\rightarrow$  1.5%@50GeV
  - tracker momentum resolution ;  $\sigma_{PT}/P_{T} \sim 0.05\% \cdot P_{T} \rightarrow 2.5\% @50 GeV$
  - brems-photon energy measured by CAL

Excellent calorimeter needed for the best jet reconstruction.

Initiate shower, make individual particle energy lower to contain in a reasonable detector volume, absorbs all energy of all cascade particles, and converts the energy into signal.

- Two ways to convert energy to signal;
  - ionization
  - photon

- $\rightarrow$  Dense material is better for calorimeters in most of the cases.
- Shower size characterized by radiation length  $X_0$  (longitudinal size) and Molier radius  $R_M$  (transverse size).
- $X_0$  and  $R_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$  depends on material.

**ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter** 

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

# ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter

#### a) Sampling Calorimeter (right figure)

- Active media plastic scintillator, noble liquid, silicon
- Absorber
  - Lead, Iron, Tungsten, Copper, , ,
- Geometry

sandwich, spacal, accordion, shashlik,

b) Homogeneous crystals (use photons) or noble liquid (ionization or photon)

Structural parameters determined by required performance and shower sizes ; total thickness granularity/segmentation sampling frequency absorber thickness etc.

![](_page_57_Figure_10.jpeg)

Let's Design Detectors

# **ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter**

- a) Sampling
  - Active media

plastic scintillator, noble liquid, silicon

- Absorber

Lead, Iron, Tungsten, Copper, , ,

- Geometry

sandwich, spacal, accordion, shashlik,

#### b) Homogeneous Calorimeter

crystals (use photons) or noble liquid (ionization or photon)

Structural parameters determined by required performance and shower sizes ; total thickness granularity/segmentation sampling frequency absorber thickness etc.

![](_page_58_Picture_12.jpeg)

Crystals which generate light on particle passage is used for homogeneous calorimeters.

![](_page_58_Picture_14.jpeg)

Make an array of crystals, light-shielded to each-other, and read out photons from each crystal. Energy resolution can be expressed as;

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus \frac{b}{E} \oplus c$$

a ; stochastic term statistical fluctuation of shower → homogeneous sampling fluctuation → frequent sampling signal fluctuation → more ionization pairs, more photons

etc.

```
b; noise term
```

c ; constant term

shower leakage  $\rightarrow$  thick calorimeter, no gap dead material  $\rightarrow$  thinner tracker imperfection  $\rightarrow$  quality control etc.

Structural parameters are determined by required performance and shower sizes ;

- total thickness
- active material choice
- granularity/segmentation
- sampling frequency
- absorber plate thickness etc.

# Segmentation/Granurality

- Need transverse segmentation and shower be shared by plural segments.
- For better two-cluster separation, plural clusters should not merge.
- Better to have longitudinal segmentation for EM/hadron identification.
- $\rightarrow$  Dense (small  $R_M)$  material and fine segmentation

Sampling calorimeters can naturally have longitudinal segmentation.

![](_page_60_Figure_7.jpeg)

 small R<sub>M</sub>
 & small segment size

 Image: Segment size
 Image: Segment size
 <

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

decay time ~10ns

Let's Design Detectors

# **Readout of sampling calorimeter**

Active media to measure charged particle passage;

By ionization

- gas chamber ; see previous slides
- silicon ; see previous slides
- noble liquid

Use liquid Ar/Xe instead of gas.

Operation and configuration quite similar to the gas chambers.

By light (photons)

### - plastic scintillator

Read out of scintillator

- variety of photon sensor ; PMT, Si, APD, SiPM/MPPC, Hybrids,,
- readout method ; direct-couple, WLS-fiber/plate

![](_page_63_Figure_14.jpeg)

# **Readout of sampling calorimeter**

#### - Noble Liquid ionization

Use liquid Ar/Xe instead of gas.

Operation and configuration quite similar to the gas chambers.

![](_page_64_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)

ATLAS liq.Ar EMCAL Accordion shape absorbers to eliminate inter-segment gaps and to reduce inductance.

# **Organic Scintillator as active media**

 $\pi\text{-}\text{bond}$  electrons are excited by charged particles, and emit photons when de-excited.

p-Terphenyl 391nm V POPOP 418nm

Naphthalene

348nm

Wavelength or this primary photon is too short for most of photo-sensors.

By cascade absorption and re-emission of photon, make the wavelength longer to match spectral response of photo-sensors.

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

Plastic scintillators generate light when charged particles pass. Amount of light proportional to the energy loss. Measure the light by photo-sensors. Popularly used as read-out media.

Active plate. Measure energy of passing charged particles.

![](_page_65_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_10.jpeg)

# Scintillator + light-guide + PMT direct readout

The most general (old-fashioned) way to read out scintillator light is to use PMT (photo-multiplier tube) coupled by a light-guide.

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Photo-multiplier Tube

Photo-electrons emitted from the photo-cathode are accelerated by HV, hit the dynodes, and make cascade of secondary electrons. Gain of  $\sim 10^6$  available.

![](_page_66_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Sintillating-fiber SPACAL

Fibers made of scintillators are embedded into grooves made on the absorber plate. Back-end of the scintillation fibers are directly coupled to the photo-sensors.

- Good transverse segmentation
- Longitudinal segmentation not easy.

![](_page_67_Picture_5.jpeg)

# WLS fiber/plate readout

Light collection from the scintillator and transfer to photo-sensors by wave-length-shifting fibers/plates has become common.

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

# WLS fiber/plate readout

There are many of ways to couple scintillator plates and WLS fibers/plates

![](_page_69_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Plastic Scintillator + WLS fiber sandwich**

CDF/CMS calorimeter design: Tile-fiber

- A WLS fiber is put in a circular groove machined in a tile.
- Many tiles machined at once using large scintillator plate.
- WLS fibers are routed through another overlayed plate with grooves.

![](_page_70_Picture_6.jpeg)

ILD mega-tile with varying tile size.

![](_page_70_Figure_8.jpeg)

Basic parameter ; density, Rshower, Nphoton, Characteristic of generated photon (time)

Let's Design Detectors

# Plastic Scintillator + WLS fiber shashlik

LHCb shashlik design

- A WLS fibers run through holes machined in a tile.
- WLS fibers naturally reach to photo-sensors

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_6.jpeg)
## 5. Operation of detectors ; Calorimeters

## **Hadron Calorimeter**

Structure similar to EMcal.

Larger sizes since hadron shower is larger.

- Homogeneous ; none so far.
- Sampling

Active Layer ; Scintillator, Noble Liquid,,,

Absorber layer ; Lead, Iron, Uranium, Copper,,,

- Segmentation

#### **Strategical Choice**

- Tracking calorimeter ; Energy calculation by counting tracks in shower
- Nuclear reaction invisible energy recovery ; Compensation with Uranium/Lead

ZEUS "compensated" hadron calorimeter with 3.2mm-U + 3.0mm-plastic scintillator gives  $15\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 2\%$  for *e* and  $35\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 2\%$  for hadron.

	Density [g/cm3]	Radiation Length $X_0$	Interaction Length $\lambda_I$
Iron	7.87	18mm	16.8cm
Lead	11.4	5.6mm	17.6cm
Tungsten	19.3	3.5mm	9.9cm
U	19.0	3.2mm	11.0cm



#### **Hadron Calorimeter**

Strategical Choice : Tracking calorimeter (digital calorimeter)

Energy calculation by counting track length (number of hits) in a shower.

- $\rightarrow$  No energy measurement but hit on/off information only.
- $\rightarrow$  Digital HCAL (CALICE)
- 1.3m<sup>3</sup>-prototype, 48 layers of RPC, 1cmx1cm pad 0.5Mch-readout being tested.

Super-high granularity also enables "software compensation".



Various photon sensors are used to read out scintillation light, either directly or with WLS fibers/plates.

- PMT, FM-PMT, MCP
- Si, APD
- HAPD
- SiPM/MPPC







Choices are driven by

- gain, noise, dynamic range single-photon sensitivity,
- photo-sensitive area and spectral response
- tolerance for magnetic field
- operation volatge
- cost

and so on.







#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Photon Sensors

Structure, operation principle and characteristics of Various Photon sensors



Structure, operation principle and characteristics of Various Photon sensors





As PIN-Si, photons generate e-h pairs. Drifted electrons are accelerated by strong electric field of avalanche region, and e-h cascade occurs.

At CMS, APDs are directly attached to  $PbWO_4$ . Signal is amplified and digitized by FADC.





Let's Design Detectors

#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Photon Sensors

Structure, operation principle and characteristics of Various Photon sensors HAPD



#### 5. Operation of detectors ; Photon Sensors

Structure, operation principle and characteristics of Various Photon sensors SiPM/MPPC





#### S14422

- $1.5mm\phi$  photo-sensitive area
- $\Box 25 \mu m x 2876 pixels$ ,
- V<sub>BR</sub>=40.5V
- Gain>10<sup>5</sup>



One sensor has thousands of pixels. Each pixel acts as Geiger-mode photon detector. If photon hits the pixel, it generates discharge signal.

- $\rightarrow$  Each pixel gives just on/off signal.
- → Number of photons entered to the sensor is number of fired pixels, if number of photons are not too many.

#### Single-photon sensitivity.

Fast rise time, slightly slow fall time due to quenching. Operational in strong magnetic field.

Pixel-size/number of pixel be carefully chosen.

#### **Particle Identification**

Identify species of charged particle (e, $\mu$ , $\pi$ ,p,K,,, especially  $\pi$ /K separation)

PID purpose strongly depends on the physics target  $\rightarrow$  design/technology different experiment by experiment

- Very important for flavour physics
- not simple nor straight ;
  - Need to identify mass, but direct calculation of mass is difficult.
  - $\rightarrow$  Measure velocity ( $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) of the particle and separate them.

Combination of various observables

- ToF
- dE/dx
- Cherenkov Light ; many types of Cherenkov detectors
- Transition Radiation
- and so on ...

Particle ID --> Basically measure velocity ( $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) of the particle

**<u>ToF</u>** (Time-of-Flight) ; the most straight-forward way



Momentum (GeV/c)

Particle ID --> Basically measure velocity ( $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) of the particle

#### <u>dE/dx</u>

Energy loss is function of velocity



$$\frac{dE}{dx} \propto \frac{Z^2}{\beta^2} \ln\left(a\beta^2\gamma^2\right)$$

At high energy,  $\beta$  saturates and dE/dx has small differences  $\rightarrow$  not very useful.

Below ~800MeV/c,

 $\pi/K$  can be separated but  $\mu/\pi$  can not be. Truncated mean of many dE/dx measurement improves the separation.

→ Useful at low-energy fixed-target experiment. Particle ID --> Basically measure velocity ( $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) of the particle

#### **Cherenkov Light**

Cherenkov generation condition ;  $\beta > 1/n$ Radiation angle  $\theta$  ; cos  $\theta = 1/n\beta$ .

- $\rightarrow$  have sensitivity to  $\beta$ .
- Threshold type
  Detect Cherenkov photon emission for several n, and narrow-down the β range and particle species.
- Cone angle  $\theta$  measurement tyle measure the ring image of the Cherenkov light, measure  $\beta$ , and pin-down the particle species.



## Cherenkov Light ;

Threshold type

Detect Cherenkov emission for several n, and narrow-down the  $\beta$  range and particle species.



data taken from Grupen.

#### **Cherenkov Light**

Cone angle  $\theta$  measurement type measure the ring image of the Cherenkov light, measure  $\beta$ , and pin-down the particle species.



Belle-II Aerogel Ring-Image Cherenkov Counter







 $\pi/K$  separation by  $\theta$  measurement "focus" the image by double-radiator configuration.

#### **Cherenkov Light**

Cone angle  $\theta$  measurement type measure the ring image of the Cherenkov light, measure  $\beta$ , and pin-down the particle species.

Super-Kamiokande Water Cherenkov Counter

 $e,\mu$  identification with  $\theta$  and ring image analysis.

Timing of PMTs  $\rightarrow$  vertex position Distance and ring radius  $\rightarrow$  emission angle  $\theta$  $\theta$  and ring image  $\rightarrow$  e, $\mu$  identification Ring charge  $\rightarrow$  particle  $\beta$  obtained.

Actual analysis is multi-parameter maximum-likelihood method with all information.



#### **Transition Radiation Detector**

When a charged particle crosses boundary of different material, Transition Radiation is emitted.

Emitted energy S  $S = \frac{1}{3}\alpha z^{2}\hbar\omega_{\rm p}\gamma , \ \hbar\omega_{\rm p} = \sqrt{4\pi N_{e}r_{e}^{3}}m_{e}c^{2}/\alpha$ 

To get significant energy emitted,  $\gamma > 1000$  is needed.  $\rightarrow$  mainly to identify electrons



Emitted N<sub>photon</sub> ~  $\alpha Z^2$ ~0.01 for electron

Transition radiation from single boundary is weak.

→ Use multi-layer configuration for actual detector.



#### **Transition Radiation Detector**

ALICE TRD : electron–ID and tracking Catch  $J/\phi, \Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-$ Radiator : Polypropylene fiber of  $17\mu\phi$ Detector ; drift chamber with Xe/CO2-gas





Let's Design Detectors

### Muon identification with high-efficiency, low contamination

### Muon are the key particles to search for new physics.

How can we know the particle is muon ?

- Muon does not initiate EM shower
- Muon does not initiate hadron shower
- Penetration as MIP through thick material

Typical configuration;

- Interleave of absorber and detection layers
- Absorber mostly iron plate to work as flux return.
- Detection layers mostly gas chambers several choices for various emphasis
  - timing resolution
  - position resolution
  - large size
  - cost



# Muon identification with high-efficiency, low contamination

<u>Muon are the key particles to search for new physics.</u>  $^{\mu}$ 

Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) aims at

- good muon identification
- good muon momentum resolution
- good dimuon mass resolution

Design parameters are

- 12Tm bending field for good momentum resolution
- Thick iron absober of 1.5m

Detector area ~25000m2

- $\rightarrow$  inexpensive detector needed
- Array of single-wire drift chamber (barrel)
- MWPC with cathode-strip readout (EC)
- RPC for trigger (fast response)





 $mu-ID\mathcal{O}efficiency$ ,

contamination





# $H^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ chennel is the highway to the Higgs discovery.

How can we know the particle is electron ? With calorimeter

- Initiate EM shower

Shower profile consistent to EM shower.

- $\rightarrow$  fine granularity is needed.
- Matches to a track (not  $\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0$ ) Position matches Energy-momentum matches
- Do not initiate hadron shower Additional e-ID with TRD



#### Electron identification with high-efficiency, low contamination

For the best electron ID, EM calorimeter + TRD are used.



ATLAS TRT performance is; pion rejection = 1/20 (2GeV) pion rejection = 1/16 (20GeV) at electron efficiency = 90%

pion rejection = 1/50 (2,20GeV) at electron efficiency = 80%



#### Explicitly reconstruct all H<sup>0</sup>/t/b/W/Z decays for precision study of H<sup>0</sup>

- good resolutions ; energy, momentum, position, timing
  Charged particle be measured by trackers, while neutral particles by calorimeters.
  Excellent position resolutions to untangle track/cluster overlapping.
- Jet reconstruction ; high multiplicity, high occupancy
- Precision secondary vertexing ( $b,c,\tau$ -tagging) and primary vertexing (bunch separation).
- Reject overwhelming QCD background reactions

### These were nearly the solutions $\downarrow$

#### This was almost the solution



# Summary

- We pick up reactions to measure Higgs discovery Higgs precision study
- 2. We overviewed the detectors for particle physics
- 3. We specified required performance of the detectors for picked up reactions
- 4. We surveyed interaction of particle with matter as fundamental knowledge to design detectors
- 5. We surveyed operation of various detectors

# References

Many ideas, explanations, figures and equations are taken from the references below;

- PDG ; Review of Particle Physics, by Particle Data group
- W.R.Leo ; Techniques for Nuclear and Particle Physics Experiments, by William R. Leo
- Grupen ; Particle Detectors, by Claus Grupen and Boris Schwartz
- Knoll ; Radiation Detection and Measurement, by Glenn E. Knoll
- Lang ; Basic Elements of Particle Radiation Detectors at VSON2017, by Karol Lang
- Nakaya ; Particle and Radiation detectors at VSON2019, by Tsuyoshi Nakaya
- Erika ; Lecture "The Physics of Particle Detectors", by Erika Garutti
- Joram ; CERN Summer Student Lectures 2003, by Christian Joram

and many slides on the experiment reports.