

Topical discussion (3): Methodologies and experimental inputs for the Unitarity test

Son Cao, Osamu Yasuda, and Shiqi Yu

Very brief on (non-)unitarity

- Leptonic mixing matrix must be unitary due to the orthogonality of the flavor-definite neutrino states and the mass eigenstates
- But it's not necessary to be 3x3 matrix. The see-saw mechanism, for example, imply the existence of the heavy neutral leptons. In this case, the size of the leptonic mixing matrix is larger than 3.
- The observed leptonic mixing matrix with three active flavor-definite neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) may be just an “*effective*” sub-matrix of the bigger one

$$U \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} & U_{e4} & \cdots \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} & U_{\mu4} & \cdots \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} & U_{\tau4} & \cdots \\ U_{a1} & U_{a2} & U_{a3} & U_{a4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

In principle, we want to test the unity of probability sum

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) + P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu) + P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = 1 ?$$

And counterpart with antineutrinos

If we can build a beta beam

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) + P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) + P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = 1 ?$$

And counterpart with antineutrinos

Practical methodologies

- **Consistency** test where *all* data can be described within 3×3 “standard” PMNS framework (3 mixing angles and one CP-violating phase)
 - **Big issue:** Limited measurement of oscillation channels and one individual experiment cannot be sensitive to all parameters.
 - Multiple data set with different setup (baseline/energy/...) are desired for redundant check
 - Which channel(s) we should focus?
 - **Possibility to reconstruct the unitarity triangle similar to the way we reconstructed for quark mixing?**
- **Unitarity-deviation** test: Model with *extra* parameters, typically with 13 para. Then estimate the constraint on these parameters.
 - It seems impossible for single experiment to do this test?
 - Do we need to worry about the parameter degeneracy in the extended models
 - Computing time for computing the statistical significance?
- **Anything else?**

Experimental inputs for unitarity test

- *(Of course, we need precision measurement on all parameters)*
- We definitely need more **tau** data (?)
 - Is DUNE's tau sample sufficient to provide a significant test?
 - Prospect of the IceCube upgrade and the like for measuring high-energy tau neutrinos?
 - Shall we think of **neutrino factory** more seriously as
- We definitely need to measure CP with high precision (?)